

Near-barrier fusion and breakup of weakly bound and exotic halo nuclei

C. Beck¹, A. Sánchez i Zafra¹, A. Diaz-Torres², I.J. Thompson³, N. Keeley⁴

¹ *Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curtien, UMR7178, CNRS-IN2P3 et Université Louis Pasteur Strasbourg, B.P. 28, F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France*

² *The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia*

³ *Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, U.K.*

⁴ *DAPNIA/SPhN Saclay, F-91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

In reactions with weakly bound nuclei, the influence on the fusion process of coupling both to collective degrees of freedom and to break-up/transfer channels is a key point for the understanding of N-body systems in quantum dynamics [1,2]. Due to the very weak binding energies of halo nuclei, such as ${}^6\text{He}$, a diffuse cloud of neutrons of halo nuclei would lead higher fusion cross sections at sub-barrier energies as compared to predictions of one-dimensional barrier penetration model [1]. This was understood in terms of the dynamical processes arising from strong couplings to collective inelastic excitations of the target and projectile [3]. However, in the case of reactions where at least one of the colliding nuclei has a sufficiently low binding energy for breakup to become a competitive process, conflicting model predictions and experimental results were reported [1,2,4]. Recent experimental results with ${}^{6,8}\text{He}$ beams show that the halo of ${}^6\text{He}$ does not enhance the fusion probability, confirming the prominent role of one- and two-neutron transfers in ${}^6\text{He}$ induced fusion reactions [1,2]. The effect of non-conventional transfer/stripping processes appears to be less significant for stable weakly bound projectiles [4].

Several experiments involving tightly bound projectiles such as ${}^9\text{Be}$, ${}^7\text{Li}$, and ${}^6\text{Li}$ projectiles on targets ranging from ${}^{12}\text{C}$ to ${}^{209}\text{Bi}$ have been investigated [2]. In this talk, excitation functions for sub- and near-barrier total (complete + incomplete) fusion cross sections measured using γ -ray techniques for the ${}^{6,7}\text{Li}+{}^{59}\text{Co}$ reactions [3,4] are presented. The comparison with Continuum-Discretized Coupled-Channel (CDCC) calculations [5] indicates only a small enhancement of total fusion for the more weakly bound ${}^6\text{Li}$ below the Coulomb barrier, with similar cross sections for both reactions at and above the barrier. This result is consistent with rather low breakup cross sections measured for the ${}^6\text{Li}+{}^{59}\text{Co}$ reaction even at incident energies larger than the Coulomb barrier [4].

The investigation of the breakup process in the ${}^{6,7}\text{Li} + {}^{59}\text{Co}, {}^{115}\text{In}$ reactions with particle techniques is also presented to discuss the interplay of fusion and breakup processes including the role of elastic scattering [4]. Coincidence data compared to three-body kinematics calculations reveal a way how to disentangle the contributions of breakup, incomplete fusion and/or transfer-reemission process.

As far as exotic halo projectiles are concerned we have initiated a systematic study of ${}^{4,6}\text{He}$ induced fusion reactions [4] with an improved three-body CDCC method [5] using a dineutron model for ${}^6\text{He}$ (α - ${}^2\text{n}$). Some of the preliminary results will be presented. However a full understanding of the reaction dynamics involving couplings to the breakup and neutron-transfer channels will need high-intensity radioactive ion beams and precise measurements of elastic scattering and yields leading to the breakup itself. The application of four-body (required for an accurate α -n-n description of ${}^6\text{He}$) CDCC models under current development [6,7] will then be highly desirable.

[1] J.F. Liang and C. Signorini, *Int. Jour. of Mod. Phys. E* **14**, 1121 (2006).

[2] L.F. Canto, P.R.S. Gomes, R. Donangelo, and M.S. Hussein, *Phys. Rep.* **424**, 1 (2006).

[3] C. Beck *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **67**, 054602 (2003).

[4] C. Beck *et al.*, arXiv:nucl-ex/**0411002** (2004).

[5] A. Diaz-Torres, I.J. Thompson, and C. Beck, *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, 044607 (2003).

[6] T. Matsumoto *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **70**, 061601(R) (2004).

[7] M. Rodriguez-Gallardo *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **72**, 034007 (2005).