## Scattering process of <sup>11</sup>Be from <sup>209</sup>Bi at Coulomb barrier energies

M. Mazzocco<sup>1</sup>, C. Signorini<sup>2</sup>, M. Romoli<sup>3</sup>, R. Bonetti<sup>4</sup>, A. De Francesco<sup>3</sup>, A. De Rosa<sup>3</sup>, M. Di Pietro<sup>3</sup>,

T. Glodariu<sup>2,5</sup>, A. Guglielmetti<sup>4</sup>, G. Inglima<sup>3</sup>, T. Ishikawa<sup>6</sup>, H. Ishiyama<sup>6</sup>, R. Kanungo<sup>7</sup>, N. Khai<sup>7</sup>,

S. Jeong<sup>6</sup>, M. La Commara<sup>3</sup>, B. Martin<sup>3</sup>, H. Miyatake<sup>6</sup>, T. Motobayashi<sup>7</sup>, T. Nomura<sup>6</sup>,

D. Pierroutsakou<sup>3</sup>, M. Sandoli<sup>3</sup>, F. Soramel<sup>8</sup>, L. Stroe<sup>5</sup>, I. Sugai<sup>6</sup>, M. H. Tanaka<sup>6</sup>, E. Vardaci<sup>3</sup>,

Y. Watanabe<sup>6</sup>, A. Yoshida<sup>7</sup>, K. Yoshida<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI), D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>2</sup> University of Padova and INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy

<sup>3</sup> University of Napoli and INFN, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

<sup>4</sup> University of Milano and INFN, I-20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>5</sup> INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, I-35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy

<sup>6</sup> Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies (KEK), 205-0801 Tsukuba-shi, Japan

<sup>7</sup> The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), 351-0198 Wako-shi, Japan

<sup>8</sup> University of Udine and INFN, I-33100, Udine, Italy

In our experiment we studied the scattering process of <sup>11</sup>Be from <sup>209</sup>Bi. The <sup>11</sup>Be secondary beam  $(S_n = 0.504 \text{ MeV})$  was obtained via fragmentation of a high energy <sup>13</sup>C primary beam impinging on a thick Be target at 100 A·MeV. The reaction products were separated with the RIPS facility at RIKEN and heavy reduced in energy by means of an aluminum degrader. The outcoming <sup>11</sup>Be beam had a Lorentzian shape centered at 43 MeV with a FWHM of 15 MeV, an overall intensity of 10<sup>5</sup> pps and a beam size at the target position of 27 mm (x axis) × 19 mm (y axis). The measurement of the scattering process with such a poor emittance and low intensity secondary beam was possible by tracking the incident beam with position sensitive detectors and by detecting the scattered particles with the high granularity EXODET array [1], which subtends ~  $2\pi$  sr and allows for a position resolution of ~ 1°.

The scattering angular distributions were evaluated for 2-MeV energy bins in the energy range between 40 and 48 MeV and they turned out to be rather similar to those obtained for <sup>9</sup>Be ( $S_n = 1.554$  MeV) nuclei interacting with a <sup>209</sup>Bi target. This similarity, also observed for the fusion cross sections of both systems [2], suggests moderate effects due to the low binding energy on the reaction dynamics at Coulomb barrier energies. A further comparison shows that for system <sup>11</sup>Be + <sup>209</sup>Bi the reaction cross section is much larger than the fusion one. Since in this energy range a few processes (namely fusion, inelastic excitations, breakup processes) are expected to exhaust the whole reaction cross section, this discrepancy could by solved by a strong breakup channel <sup>11</sup>Be  $\rightarrow$  <sup>10</sup>Be + n. The deduced reaction cross section were also compared with those obtained for other weakly bound projectiles (<sup>9</sup>Be, <sup>6,8</sup>Li and <sup>6</sup>He) interacting with high-Z target (<sup>208</sup>Pb and <sup>209</sup>Bi), see Fig. 1. Among all of them, <sup>6</sup>He exhibits the highest "reactivity" at Coulomb barrier energies, even if its binding energy ( $S_{2n} = 0.972$  MeV) is larger than for <sup>11</sup>Be. Theoretical analyses are going on to investigate the origin of this unexpected behavior.



Figure 1: Reaction cross sections for five similar mass systems at Coulomb barrier energies. Data are been divided by  $R^2$ , with R sum of the projectile and target radii, and plotted vs.  $E_{cm}/V_C$ . [1] M. Romoli et al., IEEE Transaction on Nuclear Science 52, 1860 (2005) [2] C. Signorini et al., Nucl. Phys. A 735, 329 (2004)